IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

WEDNESDAY, THE 22ND AUGUST 2007 / 31ST SRAVANA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 2681 of 2007()

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 29/06/2007 IN CMP 4509/2006 IN CC.987/2003 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT, VARKALA

.....

PETITIONER: COMPLAINANT

VILASINI, D/O.NARAYANAN,
MANNEDUTHUVILA VEEDU, REGHUNATHAPURAM DESOM,
VARKALA VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SMT.A.SALINI LAL

RESPONDENTS: ACCUSED

---

1. AYSHA, D/O.AYOOB, AYSHA MANZIL,
KANJIRAMKUZHI, KAITHAKONAM, VARKALA VILLAGE.

2. PONSHYA W/O AYOOB, AYSHA MANZIL,
KANJIRAMKUZHY, KAITHAKONAM,
VARKALA VILLAGE.

3. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR ADV.SRI.M.S.BREEZ

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J

= = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Crl.M.C. No.2681 Of 2007

= = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 22nd day of August, 2007

ORDER

The short grievance of the petitioner is that permission has been refused to adduce evidence of a video cassette which according to the petitioner would throw crucial light on the controversy in question.

2. The video cassette has not been produced before the Court so far. After the course of discussions, the learned counsel for the petitioner accepts that it shall be sufficient if the petitioner is given an opportunity, later, to introduce the video cassette in the further evidence at the post charge stage.

3. This Crl.MC, is in these circumstances, dismissed; but with the specific observation that the petitioner's prayer to introduce the said video cassette in evidence at the post charge stage will have to be considered by the learned Magistrate on merits and appropriate orders passed.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

sj

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE